



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,965	12/09/2003	Ari Minkkinen	612.43291X00	2197

20457 7590 09/27/2005

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873

EXAMINER

JOHNSON, EDWARD M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/729,965

Applicant(s)

MINKKINEN ET AL.

Examiner

Edward M. Johnson

Art Unit

1754

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this

Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pounds et al. US 5,462,721.

Pounds '721 discloses a process for removing hydrogen sulfide from natural gas comprising contacting with amine to produce a stream depleted of hydrogen sulfide (abstract, background).

Pounds fails to disclose contacting the thus depleted stream again with amine to remove water.

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to repeat the disclosed amine contacting on the depleted stream because Pounds discloses that since hydrogen sulfide is corrosive in the presence of water and poisonous in very small concentrations, it must be removed from natural gas streams

Art Unit: 1754

"before use" (see column 1, lines 45-50), which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, at least motivate contacting again to remove water from the natural gas after the hydrogen sulfide has been removed but "before use" of the natural gas, as disclosed.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Pounds discloses a single circuit any concentration and about 85% (see abstract and column 6, lines 18-20).

Regarding claims 3-7, 9-14, Pounds discloses heating to below about 150 degrees (see column 6, lines 21-26), which would at least suggest distilling or expanding before the suggested second contact.

Regarding claim 15, Pounds discloses pumping (see Example 1).

3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pounds '721 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Grierson et al. US 5,622,681.

Pounds fails to disclose methyldiethanolamine or dimethylethanolamine.

Grierson discloses methyldiethanolamine (see column 7, line 4).

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the methyldiethanolamine of Grierson in the hydrogen sulfide

Art Unit: 1754

removal process of Pounds because Grierson discloses the methyldiethanolamine in a process for removal of hydrogen sulfide from natural gas to scrub or "sweeten" refinery and natural gas streams (see abstract and paragraph bridging columns 6-7).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that a first difference between the present invention and the process of Pounds... dialdehyde and an alkanolamine. This is not persuasive because Applicant Applicant appears to admit that a mixture of such reactants is disclosed, Applicant merely claims contacting with a solvent comprising amine, and Pounds discloses "amine products" (see columns 5-6). And, in any case, no such 100% reaction resulting in no amines is disclosed, nor does Applicant appear to allege that the disclosed products are in fact different and contain no amines, arguing only that the mixture is referred to as a reaction product.

It is argued that a second difference between the present invention... according to our invention. This is not persuasive because Pounds discloses that since hydrogen sulfide is corrosive in the presence of water and poisonous in very small

Art Unit: 1754

concentrations, it must be removed from natural gas streams "before use" (see column 1, lines 45-50), which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, at least motivate contacting again to remove water from the natural gas after the hydrogen sulfide has been removed but "before use" of the natural gas, as disclosed. Pounds further discloses about 85% (see abstract and column 6, lines 18-20).

It is argued that the Grierson et al. patent discloses a dialysis separation... absorption process. This is not persuasive because Applicant appears to admit that alkanolamine is disclosed.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1754

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/729,965

Page 7

Art Unit: 1754

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free):



Edward M. Johnson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754

EMJ